

**JS-6**

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

KASRA HEJAZI, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

**V.**

UR MENDOZA JADDOU, Director United  
States Citizenship & Immigration  
Services, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. CV 23-8614 FMO (PDx)

**ORDER RE: DISMISSAL WITHOUT  
PREJUDICE**

The court has reviewed and considered the parties' Joint Stipulation to Temporarily Stay Case ("Stipulation"). The parties request a stay of the action in the interest of judicial economy. In the court's experience with numerous similar requests in recent mandamus actions challenging similar delays, the setting of an agreed interview date generally leads to the resolution of the parties' dispute without the need for further intervention by the court. However, staying cases requires the continued commitment of judicial resources to monitoring the cases and ensuring compliance with requirements to file status reports. It also increases the burden on the parties to file status reports or seek dismissal of the stayed case upon resolution of the matter.

It appears that the more efficient approach is to dismiss this action without prejudice to either party moving to reopen nunc pro tunc in the event that further court intervention becomes necessary. The court perceives no practical difference between this approach and the relief

1 stipulated by the parties, apart from eliminating the need for future monitoring or action if the  
2 parties honor their agreement, as the court expects them to.

3 Based on the foregoing, IT IS ORDERED THAT this action is **dismissed without**  
4 **prejudice** to any party seeking to vacate this Order and reopen the action nunc pro tunc in the  
5 event that plaintiff is unable to receive a determination in the time contemplated by the parties.

6 Dated this 19th day of January, 2024.

7  
8 /s/  
9 \_\_\_\_\_  
10 Fernando M. Olguin  
11 United States District Judge  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28